

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION D

FINAL DRAFT

DISSOLUTION OF COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 5
AND RAVENSWOOD RECREATION AND PARK DISTRICT
AS PART OF THE REORGANIZATION OF THE EAST PALO ALTO AREA

The voters on this ballot are making three decisions with one vote, *we must*
~~First, to approve a new City of East Palo Alto. Second, to transfer the~~ *we must*
Recreation District to the new city. Third, to eliminate County Service Area
No. 5 which was set up to collect extra taxes for police services.

Even though every voter will not live in the new city, each vote will
affect the outcome of the incorporation election (Proposition A). This Propo-
sition must pass to establish a new City of East Palo Alto.

By setting up a new city and eliminating the many separate districts,
East Palo Alto can have better community planning and coordination of services.
Things will be better for ALL of the voters by passing Proposition D.

When East Palo Alto becomes a city, the community will control land-use,
planning, and all city services. The new City Council will be responsible for
all community development. It will replace the Municipal Council. The Recrea-
tion District and County Service Area No. 5 will also go out of business.
Their functions -- recreation, police services and garbage collection -- will
be transferred to the new City of East Palo Alto.

Independent studies by SRI International and county consultants have
shown that East Palo Alto has enough money to operate a successful city. All
of the city departments, including our own police department, will operate on
a sound financial basis.

Remember, these are monies that East Palo Alto NOW pays and which are
spent by the county and other districts. Property taxes, by law, will not be
increased by the new city.

FINAL DRAFT

You must vote Yes on Propositions A, B, C, and D to pass Proposition D and to get better services through coordinated community planning.

The undersigned authors of the Primary Argument in favor of ballot measure Proposition D at the special election for the East Palo Alto community to be held on 13 April, 1982 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of their knowledge.

East Palo Alto Citizens' Committee
On Incorporation (EPACCI)

Mrs. Carmaleit D. Oakes, Convenor
(signed)

(date)