Finally, last Dumbarton Bridge access road ready

☐ But the traffic jams may continue

By Steve Taylor Times Tribune staff

As the years passed as slowly as the years passed as slowly as their cars moved through traffic snarls, thousands of East Bay com-muters waited impatiently for the new Dumbarton Bridge project to be completed.

in Riders in the 24,000 cars that in Riders in Rid

structed to and from the bridge.

They have waited as construc-tion contributed to unexpected, unusually long traffic jams that sometimes stretched for more than two miles, from west Menlo Park, over the bridge and into Fremont and

Newark.
This week, the wait ends. University Avenue in East Palo Alto, the last new access route, is to be opened to bridge traffic.

The question now is: Will the bridge and new roads be enough to

handle the increasing number of cars and trucks that traverse

If not, traffic snarls will continue, and the stage may be set for the next battle over the Dumbarton Bridge, which probably would re-semble the old battles over the

bridge.

New pavement will be opened
New pavement will be opened sometime this week so that cars heading to and from the bridge can

□ And East Palo Alto's problem just starting While East Bay commuters will make purchases from local busi- mobile and truck engines on the be happy when the new access

road connects the Dumbarton Bridge to University Avenue this week, there will be little joy in East Palo Alto. During the mid-1970s, when the

question of using University Avenue as an approach to the bridge arose, the East Palo Alto Municipal Council approved of the plan, primarily because it hoped the con-nection would lure industry into Please see BRIDGE. A-5 the area and commuters would louder along with the roar of auto-

The San Mateo County Board of Supervisors, which governed the then-unincorporated community, heeded the Municipal Council's wishes and approved of the con-

If city leaders today had their choice, there would be no connection. Mayor Barbara Mouton has led a chorus of criticism in recent weeks that probably will grow

community's main street.

The California Department of

Transportation estimates half of the 24,000 vehicles that cross the bridge each weekday will take University Avenue to get to and from jobs, schools and other destina-tions. The other half will take two different routes, on Willow Road through Menlo Park and on the new Bayfront Expressway, which

Please see PROBLEM, A-5

BRIDGE

Continued from A-1

use University Avenue. It will be the third and last approach from the west side of the Bay to the Dumbarton Bridge.

Already, commuters can drive to and from the bridge via Willow Road in Menlo Park and the new Bayfront Expressway that connects to the Bayshore Freeway inter-change at Marsh Road. A new section of Willow Road will be com-pleted between Bayshore and the bridge sometime next spring, but the existing road will remain open until then.

In the middle of the San Francis co Bay, the new concrete bridge is completed. It replaced the 57-yearold steel structure, part of which is to be blown up this week.

On the east end, a single, freeway-type access road is to be opened in November. The four-lane stretch (two lanes of traffic in each direction) will replace a nearby two-lane road.

When it's completed, however, there is a good chance it won't be good enough, according to Paul Hensley, the Dumbarton Bridge project manager for the California Department of Transportation. Cal-trans intends to conduct a yearlong study to learn if the \$200 million project eliminates long traffic jams and permits East Bay commuters to get to and from Peninsula jobs in

easonable amounts of time.
Preliminary studies already indicate some traffic jams will continue. In a May 1981 report, Caltrans concluded that congestion will occur on the Peninsula side of the bridge on Willow Road and University Avenue, both during the morn-

ing and evening commute times.
As many as 2,400 vehicles per hour will cross the bridge during commute times, but Willow Road will be jammed after the figure reaches 1,700 and University Avenue will be congested after the figure reaches 1,900, the study con-

This congestion will get worse in the future as demand in-creases," according to the Caltrans

That should come as no surprise when remembering the long history of the bridge project. At one time transportation planners in-tended to connect a widened Dumbarton Bridge to a proposed Willow Expressway that was to whisk com-muters across the Peninsula roughly along Willow Road out to Sand planned capacity of (the University Hill Road and eventually Interstate and Willow) roadways." 280. Hensley thinks "traffic should

There also was a plan for an access road connecting the new bridge with Embarcadero Road in

Both the expressway and Embarcadero Road connection met with vehement opposition from Menlo Park and Palo Alto residents who thought their neighborhoods would pay the price of easing the commute for East Bay residents driving to jobs on the Peninsula.

Residents claimed the new bridge and access routes would stimulate overdevelopment on the Peninsula and would dump more traffic on their streets. After losing the battle to stop the bridge itself, they set about to restrict the access routes through their communities.

study financed by East Bay cities, the traffic problem today already is worse. TJKM Transportation Consultants of Walnut Creek analyzed the Caltrans report and con-cluded the figure of 2,400 vehicles per hour using the bridge at rush

hour is "a very low estimate."

"The estimate was made some where around 1975 and does not take into account recent decisions in the tri-city area (Fremont, Newark and Union City) which will result in increased demand on the bridge," the consultants concluded.

"Recent decisions" refers to a housing boom, sponsored by the three cities, that is occurring. Condominiums and single-family ouses are being constructed in large numbers, and sales are brisk — in part because buyers believe the Dumbarton Bridge commute will be easier once the new access

East of the bridge, the new fourlane freeway can carry up to 3,600 vehicles per hour, TJKM concluded. That means if the traffic load increases to figures between 2,400 and 3,600 vehicles per hour, there will be no traffic jams in Fremont or on the bridge, but there will be regular congestion on the Peninsu-

Hensley said Caltrans believes 50 percent of all bridge traffic will use University Avenue because surveys show half the commuters are destined for Palo Alto or points south. The other half will be about evenly split between Willow Road and Marsh Road, according to Cal-

ans figures.
For that reason, TJKM concluded, "The west-Bay Area will suffer from immediate congestion, with the current demand exceeding the

flow pretty smoothly" and he does not expect any continual problems. He expects that many commuters will detour south to Highway 237 between Milpitas and Sunnyvale once car-pool lanes there are com-pleted in November.

He acknowledged, however, that hundreds of today's Highway 237 travelers and others who now cross the San Mateo Bridge may detour to the Dumbarton Bridge. If problems result, solutions can include synchronizing traffic lights, he

A third solution could be adding a fourth access road. One possibility is the old idea of taking traffic around East Palo Alto to Embarca-According to an independent dero Road in Palo Alto, Hensley

> A fourth answer might be widening west-side access roads. Plans now call for two lanes of westbound traffic to exit the bridge, but the pavement will narrow to one westbound lane after the University Avenue intersection.

> Neither of the last two options appeal to most Peninsula civic leaders. Palo Alto long ago rejected an Embarcadero Road connection to the bridge and has permitted development on the street's east end so that constructing an access road would be difficult, if not impossi-

> ble.
> Widening access roads not only
> if may be illegal, according to Atherton Councilman Malcolm Dudley, a longtime leader in the fight to keep the west-side access roads as narrow as possible

> Dudley once was president of a Peninsula group called the Citizens Against Dumbarton Bridge. It opposed construction of the new bridge and access roads as a meth od of discouraging East Bay commuters, who contribute to Peninsu-

muters, who contribute to Peninsula traffic and parking problems.

To avoid a court fight, Caltrans and bridge opponents signed an agreement in August 1977 the specifically forbade any construction other than that now under way.

On the East Bay, there is a similar willingness to dismiss the issue for now. Fremont Mayor Leon Mazzetti thinks his city and others already are pursuing the best solution: luring industry to the East Bay and sometimes from the Penin-ula — so that local residents will not have to commute over the

bridge to jobs.

"As we get industry, it (commute traffic) will go both ways," he said.

Saga of the Dumbarton Bridge

January 1965: On the Dumbarton Bridge's 38th birthday, state Sen. Richard Dolwig, R-Atherton, asks the Legislature to study the idea of reconstructing the span after fears are voiced that it soon will fall apart, due mainly to the fact that vehicles now are bigger and heavier than those which first crossed the span in 1927. Early cost estimates are around \$26 million.

October 1965: E.R. Foley, chief engineer for the state Division of Bay Toll Crossings, de-clares building a new bridge will be a better idea. Dolwig said that idea, too, is worthy of

January 1967: Plans for a new Dumbarton Bridge are submitted to the state Legislature by the state Division of Bay Toll Crossings. In August, Gov. Ronald Reagan signs a bill authorizing a study of the plans. Estimated cost now is \$40 million.

July 1972: Despite opposition from Peninsula citizens' groups, Gov. Reagan signs leg-islation to build a new bridge with four approach roads on the west side, to Marsh Road in Atherton, Willow Road in Menlo Park, University Avenue in East Palo Alto and Embarcadero Road in Palo Alto. Estimated cost now is \$60 million.

January 1974: Assemblyman John Vasconcellos, D-San Jose, introduces legislation that would require a public vote of approval in the South Bay area before a new Dumbarton Bridge can be constructed. It never is adopted by the state Legislature, despite the endorsement of new Gov. Jerry Brown. March 1975: Gov. Brown's administration

grees to delay bridge construction while the state Legislature debates a bill by state Sen. Arlen Gregorio, D-San Mateo, that would halt project funding. At the same time, lawsuits filed by Palo Alto, Atherton and a citizens' group called Citizens Against the Dumbarton Bridge to halt the work are pending in differ-

August 1975: Gov. Brown reverses himself and says it is too late to stop the project, in part because Gregorio's legislation appears dead. The estimated cost is \$93.7 million.

September 1976: Gov. Brown signs legislation to speed up construction of a new bridge and eliminate any veto power by Peninsula cities. Estimated cost now is about \$100 mil-

January 1977: Palo Alto's lawsuit to halt bridge construction is tossed out by San Mateo County Superior Court Judge Thomas

August 1977: In exchange for Atherton and the Citizens Against the Dumbarton Bridge dropping their lawsuit in U.S. District Court, the state agrees to build only three Peninsula access roads that permit only one lane of traffic in each direction.

February 1978: Ground is broken on the

east side for the new bridge project. The esti-

mated price now is \$125 million.

October 1982: The new Dumbarton Bridge is opened to traffic while access roads still under construction. Estimated cost for entire

project now is \$200 million.

August 1984: The new Marsh Road access road is opened.

September 1984: The new University Avenue access road is to be opened, perhaps as early as Monday. Old Dumbarton Bridge, now 7 years old, to be demolished. November 1984: The single-access, four-

lane road east of the bridge is to be opened.

March 1985: New toll plaza at end of eastaccess road to be completed.

Spring 1985: New Willow Road access road to be opened.

PROBLEM

Continued from A-1

connects to Marsh Road.

Virtually all of the cars that will travel down University Avenue in the mornings will be destined for Palo Alto and points south, not East Palo Alto, according to Caltrans' survey of Dumbarton Bridge commuters. Thus, East Palo Alto will receive few or no benefits while it must deal with more problems than any other city affected by the bridge project.

East Palo Alto officials fear the

East Palo Alto officials fear the heavy traffic will divide the community in two during morning and traffic, slow it down or eliminate

evening commute times. City residents who try to travel across University Avenue at these hours will find their journeys difficult, they believe

The traffic also will spread auto exhaust fumes all over the city. especially at rush hours, city lead-

ers fear.
Another concern is the danger posed to pedestrians, especially children going to and from school who must cross or walk along University Avenue. Of particular con-cern are students who attend Cos-tano Elementary School, which is bordered by University Avenue.

the problems it causes. But with the financially strapped city already dealing with several lawsuits, the council members have concluded that taking Caltrans to court would be a last, desperate resort.

Instead, city officials will monitor the situation closely and gather evidence to see if their fears become reality. If they do, they in-tend to press Caltrans to take steps to correct the problems.

Last week, a city-hired consult-ing firm began monitoring noise and air pollution at eight locations along University Avenue. Data gathered before the access road is opened will be compared to data gathered afterward.

- Steve Taylor